

I am Grace Joubarne, Clinical Hypnotherapist, Co-founder of Stop Psychotherapy Takeover, and still a free person.

Instead of asking what the regulation should look like, the questions you need to ask are:

- 1. Does any of this comply with the Constitutional requirement for substantial proof that regulation is justified?**
- 2. Does the regulation impose on ANY personal liberties?**
- 3. And, has it been categorically proven that there is serious risk to the public if the activity of providing healthcare services in a clinic or other environment is not regulated?**

There is a big difference between regulating things that affect all of us (eg. air quality), and regulating something that only affects the clients who freely choose to use a service (especially when they are paying for it).

Anyone can claim there is a risk, but to show that there has been actual and substantial physical harm that requires direct imposition of restrictions is quite another thing. Mere 'opinion' does not stand as proof that regulation is needed.

What your 'regulations' should look like is what the *RHPA* intended when it was formulated and written in 1991...Extremely limited government regulation and the self-regulation of professions through VOLUNTARY membership in associations of like-minded people, with strict protection of personal liberties of all.

The stated intent of the *RHPA* was to prevent monopolies, forced memberships, tyranny and to ensure public choice as to which professions and businesses survive.

The Constitution of Canada and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms ensures that no one can enter a premises without a search warrant and you cannot get a search warrant, no matter what regulations you try to force on others. Through this proposed legislation you are trying to do unlawfully what cannot be done lawfully...you are forcing members of regulated professions to accept your intrusion into their lives and commerce as a condition of their membership in your Colleges. This is unlawful and coercive.

Those College executive boards who are now forcing their opinion on the rest of us, complete with ever-evolving strategies for separating us from our hard-earned money, may get away with surrounding yourselves with the trappings of legitimacy to terrorize your fellow man in the short term, however, you will eventually be taken to Court and your schemes, conflicts of interest, interference with trade and commerce, and harassment of Ontarians will be exposed.

You are a group of self-appointed individuals associated with these colleges, trying to expand your power over others by creating the appearance of an official legislative group.

You are repeatedly making false allegations that unregulated and even many regulated healthcare practitioners might be compromising public safety by choosing to present their services and keep their records as they see fit.

You appear to be defaming healthcare practitioners, and especially unregulated healthcare practitioners by innuendo.

You repeatedly and cleverly couch suggestions that healthcare practitioners working in clinics and other environments are dangerous to public safety if you do not get to 'regulate' them, yet unregulated groups are freely chosen by the public to the tune of over \$10 Billion annually.

At least two studies have proven that not one single bit of harm has ever occurred in the natural healthcare field no matter where or how they provide their services. While most research and pharma studies submitted to prestigious medical journals have been proven to be fraudulent, you have the nerve to suggest that the proven safest practitioners on the continent may be compromising public safety.

As Hitler was famous for saying 'If you tell a big enough lie, and tell it frequently enough, it will be believed.' And so we see a repetition of the lies about how, without 'regulation' public safety is compromised, as though the public itself is too stupid to make their own healthcare decisions.

Here's how we know that YOU, all of you who come up with these plans to control your fellow Canadians to enrich yourselves, represent a clear and present danger to Ontario citizens and an imminent risk of great harm to the public good and democracy.

You are all offensive, your actions and behavior is offensive and you have zero moral or ethical authority to be in any position to regulate free people.

Many of Ontario's regulatory Colleges are corrupt, in that their leadership is mainly devoid of practicing members of the profession.

The executive boards of many, if not all of these Colleges are manned by transplants from drug companies and affiliates or are proponents of the conventional medical system exclusively.

We have done research on the backgrounds of the Health Profession Regulatory Advisory Committees (HPRAC) since 2001. That's the council that advises the Ontario Minister of Health.

None that we could find, except one person, had any direct healthcare involvement except in conventional medicine.

We have done research on the backgrounds of the executive committee of the Naturopathic Association from 2005...not one was a naturopath and all were parachuted in from large corporations who are associated with drug companies or which invest in big pharma. We could find not one involved in any practice of healthcare.

As an example of what is really going on:

The College of Naturopaths, once taken over by this executive board, got, within one year of 'token' lobbying activities, drug prescribing rights for naturopaths. They installed

a gag order, which violates the *Health Care Consent Act*, forbidding naturopaths from discussing vaccines except to say that pharma vaccines are safe and effective!).

All these 'regulated' groups have been duped by people brought in to convince members that regulation was in their best interests, when it was not.

Once these many groups were loaded onto the regulation bandwagon, their associations were taken into an entirely different direction than what members expected. As a result of being forced to become members of these Colleges, they are now going to be subjected to warrantless investigations and told how to run their practices.

Regulation for the purposes of telling others what to do on the pretext that 'its for the greater good', is the dictator's and socialist's way of taking over control of all lives.

This is why the Constitution of Canada requires that ANY imposition on freedoms be preceded by PROOF the regulation is justified. Opinion, innuendo and self-interest is not proof.

Regulation is the worst thing that can happen, and that has already happened in Ontario, not only because it costs a fortune to maintain self-licking ice cream cones that provide NO benefit to society whatever, but because it ends innovation, creativity, individuality and freedoms of all sorts for everyone. In healthcare, it has put lives in grave danger daily.

To all my colleagues: I suggest all healthcare practitioners and service providers stop going along to get along. We need to stop spending time wondering how we can make dictatorship more palatable and stop participating in 'regulation' for the sake of 'regulation'.

What these dictators do is present their takeovers as inevitable--a done deal-- and make people believe that since they have no choice, compromise is better than fighting the inevitable. Telling lies frequently and obfuscation are their main tools to get 'consensus' from people who feel they have an economic gun to their heads.

Regulation is ONLY inevitable if you choose to ignore your entrenched freedoms and rights to refuse dictatorship.

Everyone was told dictatorship was inevitable 'to protect us' and many agreed to be 'regulated' as a compromise to get the best deal they could for themselves. Even basic everyday actions, are all decided by big corporations who have tentacles extending all the way down into our daily lives through groups like the executive boards of Colleges and these 'working groups'.

To this working group I say: Since you have not provided legitimate, substantial evidence that you are even acting lawfully, let alone that the regulation you are trying to force on our practices and businesses is remotely required, it is my firm believe that you are engaged in economic bullying and you should all be investigated for exploitation, conflict of interest, collusion and all the other activities that frauds are investigated for.

Without personal liberties, such as running our businesses as we wish and offering treatment of our choosing to those who want it, we are as good as dead.

We must stop acting as though we have no Constitutional Rights. We don't have to fight the great wars all over again...we have only to stand up and protect the spoils of their efforts. When freedoms are at stake, there must be NO compromise.